

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUY T. STRINGHAM,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S- 04-1530 DFL GGH P

vs.

R. LEE, et al.,

Defendants. ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 25, 2005, defendants Herrera, Grannis, Allen, Thumser, Schwartz, O’Ran, Fisher, Providence, and Lee filed their answer to plaintiff’s complaint. On March 3, 2005, plaintiff filed a motion for a preliminary injunction asking the court to grant the relief preliminarily that he seeks on a permanent basis by his complaint. Defendants have filed no response to plaintiff’s motion. Moreover, a response to the complaint is overdue from defendant Bradanick,¹ who signed a waiver of service on February 15, 2005, which was filed in this court on February 23, 2005.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

¹ The time for a response from the remaining defendants, CMF-Vacaville and the California Department of Corrections, has not yet expired.

1. Defendants Herrera, Grannis, Allen, Thumser, Schwartz, O’Ran, Fisher, Providence, and Lee must file any response to plaintiff’s March 3, 2005 motion for preliminary relief within 20 days; and

2. Defendant Bradanick must show cause, within 10 days, for his failure to respond timely to plaintiff's complaint.

DATED: 5/31/05

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWSS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:009
strin1530.rsp